P35

G R. S 58
Previous references

File No 116-06
Serial Nos. 1516

1907
(Municipal)

Later references
. dr 152-06 1-12

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos 1516

Part B for January 1908

SUBJECT

Petition of Antaranjeah and other Dasayas of Municipal re the levy of Archataraya Shop tax on their Gajimani Shop in the Town.

---

1. From the Petitioners. Petn dd 10-8-06. Pray that the levy of Archataraya Shop tax on their Shop belonging to their Caste Gajimanu may be Cancelled.

2. To the Director Pub., Inwards. No 1936 dd 31-8-06. forwards the Petn for report.

3. From Do. No 377 dd 10-10-06. Reports that the Gajimanu lives in Municipal Madras having rented this Shop in question & that he is a tailor who is carrying on his business & that the levy of the Shop tax is not illegal.

4 To Do. No 1405 dd 27-11-06 Enquires how the Gajimanu enjoyed the exemption from the Shop tax

Kadaba, contrary to a GO on the subject.

5 From the Presdt. no. 622 of 18-1-07 states that the said Yajman enjoyed exemption only on the authority of a Govt. Order of 24-7-80

6 To the Presdt of Munds, Cannanore } no N5748 of 18/2/07

Enquires whether they were persons or classes of persons enjoying exemption from Payment of Shop tax in any of the Mal. towns from the revision of that tax in Oct^br 1891 & Sept^br 1892

7. To the Presdt. of Mds, Tamkur no 1161 of 19/2/07 forwards copy of the above & Calls for a report of all Cases of such exemptions, if any, in Kanigal & other Mal Towns in the Dist

8 & 15 Replies from the Tehsildar Mal Presdt to Sls 6 & 7

16 To the Petitioner no 6953 of 21/6/07. Informs that, for reasons given, that Petn appeal is rejected

G R S. 11]

1906-07

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Mb)

NOTES

File No 116-08

FILE HEADING

Petition of Puttu Rangiah and other Varayars of gab re the levy of Mohatarfa chok tax on their chok in the town

From

The petitioners.

No — Dated 10 8 08 Received 20 8 08

Diary No 1652 | Enclos — | Spare Copies — | Serial No 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

Pray that the levy of the Mohatarfa chok on the chok belonging to their Caste Nayman be Cancelled

NOTES AND ORDERS

22-157 & Oct. 1868 O & P

Under the mohatarfa rules, "all buildings and individuals that under the old systems of the Country, had a "right to exemption remain untouched" In the present Case, the Nayman who

NOTES—First sheet

MCCB—1000—1-7-07

S 12)
General and Revenue
Secretariat

Nil File No 116 of 1906

Serial No

employed exemption left the town and a tailor is carrying on trade in the Pajaman's shop In such circumstances, the Amildar enforced the tax on the shop and reported the matter to the President who abhorred of the levy. The appeal is against the President's decision The petitioners say that their Pajamas did not leave the town for good desposing of all his property, but is staying some time in the year at Kunigal and at other times at Kadaba owing to plague, and that the imposition of the tax on his shop for the first time has had the effect of lowering them in the estimation of others

2 Submitted for orders A draft docket calling for the President's report is also submitted

possible requirements approved

Malvan
24/8/06

Jones Jr.
19/8/01

2 3 Docket No 936 dated the 31st

MGCPB—50 000— 21 7 05

Serial No

1906 to the President of the Mels. Tamilnad Distt

Forwards the petition for details reported on the allegations contained therein

3 6 Docket No. 377 dated the 10th Feb. 1906 from the President

Reports that the said Yajaman has been residing at Kadaba for the last 6 years having rented out his shop at Kunigal to a Tailor who is carrying on his trade in it, and that accordingly he agreed with the Amildar and ordered the levy of shop tax on that shop so long as the Yajaman lived in Kadaba

5 Submitted for orders as to whether the petitioner may be informed accordingly by endorsement draft Endorsement is submitted

Mbl sd 19/10/06 As the shop is stated to have enjoyed Exemption under the Mohatarfa Rules on the ground that it belonged to the Yajaman, it seems immaterial whether the owner conducts business in the shop ~~occupies it~~ or rents it out

Note—Contin ulimt

Serial No

to another. The Yajaman's temporary absence from the Town cannot also deprive him of the privilege enjoyed for several years. In these circumstances, the President's order based on the recommendation of the Amildar to levy the tax on the shop as long as the Yajaman resided in Kadaba seems to require revision.

Submitted for orders of the Hon'ble the 1st Councillor

1226
23 10 1906

A B
22/10/06

6. Hon'ble Municipal Commissioner

Submitted a municipal town under which the orders of 1880 relating to Mohatarfa papers from the Collectorate are in force.

Yajaman
II A Sec
22.10

7. Called for information as to how exemption was allowed to the Yajaman while he was in Kadaba in spite of the orders of 1880. Done accordingly

A B
27/11/06

4 8 Docketed No 4405 dated the 27th Nov. to the President

Enquires how the case stands

Note—Contn sheet

Serial No

was allowed to enjoy exemption from payment of shop tax prior to the shifting to Kadaba in spite of orders of Govt declining exemptions of Mohatarfa payers from the tax in Municipal towns

5 9 Letter No 627 dated the 18th Jan: 1907 from the President.

States, in reply, that the said Gopuran seems to have been allowed to enjoy exemption on the authority of Govt order No 2462-9 P 245 dated the 24th July 1880

10 The Govt order relied on sanctioned the exemption from shop tax in certain cases in Municipal towns pending the reduction of that tax. The House and Mohatarfa taxes were revised in the Tumkur Draft in 1897-9 No reference was however made either in the President's report on or the Govt order according sanction about the reasons enjoying exemption from payment of shop tax till then and on such grounds as the exemption

Kuncigal's in the Taluk &c.

Dated

Cl: 20 in L 7 6-94

N Opn on 11088-907 161 92 61'19" P

cease after revision

GPB- 50 000 -23 10 05

Serial No.

G.O 22 5221-30 Mil(257-06)-1 7 12. 1906

with a population of 1802 souls It will have, perhaps, to continue as a municipality under the Executive orders of Government quite outside the Municipal Regulations. It is therefore a point for consideration whether the President's decision in this case may ~~not~~ be upheld or he be told to levy shop tax upon all cargo without exception

Submitted for orders

Mal 5/7 28/1/07

W Are there papers to show how such exemptions in other Districts were dealt with after the revision of the taxes? If not, put up a draft calling for them.

BB 30/1/07

22 There are none. Hence, draft docket calling for the information is submitted. Done

Mal 5/2/07 BB 12/2/07

8/2 Docket no. 7152. to dated the 8th Feb. 1907 to the Presidents of the Municipalities in the several Districts except Tanjore

Encl. 1 v

Note—Conts. sheet

Serial No

Enquires whether there were any persons or classes of persons (such as Eurasians, Japanese &c) enjoying exemption from payment of Sholtax in any of the Municipal towns prior to the revision of that tax in accordance with G O Nos. 8179 86 & 3839-48 dated the 29th Oct: 1891 and 8th September 1892 respectively, and if so, how such exemptions dealt with after the revision of the tax.

7 Memo No 7161 dated the 19th Feb. 1907 to the President of the Municipality in the Chittoor District

Forwards Copy of serial 6 and calls for a report of all cases of such exemptions, if any, in Kuppam and other Municipal towns in the District

86 15 Replies from the several
15 Municipal Presidents [illegible]

16 From the several replies received it will be seen that there are several persons now enjoying exemption from the payment of Mohatarfa chalt tax

G R S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mb File No. 1(1) of 1906

Serial No

Lists as detailed Below :—

Districts — Nos of Cases enjoying exemption

Bangalore	Distt	—	20 ✓
Kolar	"	—	5 ✓
Tumkur	"	—	12 ✓
Mysore	"	—	Nil ✓
Hassan	"	..	1
Shimoga	"	—	Nil ✓
Kadur	"	—	1
Chitaldrug	"	—	Nil ✓

Bangalore and Kolar say that though exemption or exemption is continued after cessation on the death, while those of Hassan & Chitaldrug cease on

Also the Presidents have no special explanation to offer for continuing the exemptions Regard having had to their fixed as also to the fact of 3 others enjoying exemption from shop tax in the town of Municipal, may the exemption enjoyed hitherto by the petitioners' Yajaman be ordered to be continued as suggested in para 5 supra ? Submitted for orders of Mb/Secy the 1st Councillor.

21/12

23/12/06

Please give out to the Appellants agents the Appeal under the Tax set forth in the Memo

Collector

5 Jan 1907

Note.—Contin sheet.

GPB—50,000 17—1-07

G R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat

Ml File No. 116 of 1906

Serial No.	
B	Order No 377 dt 10 Dec 1906 (which Govt should be briefly stated in the endt).
	2 There has been great delay in the disposal of this simple matter.
	Submit 3 Jan 08
	Put up draft.
	SBJ 3/1/08
	II A 5 a SBJ.
	Draft Endt submitted
	Mad 4/1/08 SBJ 9/1/08.
	Issue SBJ 13/1/08
16	Endorsement granted to Putta Ramiah & others of Kunigal No 6953 dt 21.1.08
	Recd by dt & informs them that the Ml President whose report was called for has stated that their Sajjanam Sri Lingaradhi has been staying at Kadaba for the last 6 years & Sankaracharya at Kunigal 6 — Taluk which has been carrying on his trade in ghee & that a tax has therefore been levied on it which is still continued & requested to bring on the third of January about from the town & that he will attend to report.
	No 6953 dt 21-1-08 Forwarded copy of the above —

Note—Contn sheet.

GPS—50 08—17-1-07

Mil 116-06

D-165281

name of the Petitioners
Setha Pedda Ranjaih
and others, the members
of the Vaishya Community
Kurnool.

Date of the Petition
10 8 06

Date of its receipt in the
Branch 18.8.06

AG Sheet

Appeal against
the order of the Dy.
Com.r Kurnool Dist
(The copy of which is
enclosed) directing
the levy of Mohalla
tax on the Shop
belonging to one
Lenga appa - Yajaman
of the Vaishya Com-
-munity at Kurn-
-gal.

N.S.
20 8 06

Two Rupees Stamp
affixed to the petn.
N.S.

G.R.S 13]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc, not intended for permanent record]

D № 2050.

In the above, the petitioners protest against the imposition of Shop-tax on a Shop belonging to one Lingappa - Yejmaan of the Vaisya Community at Kunigal. —

As the petition seems to be dealt with in Br IV, it may be sent to the Superintendent of Br IV with a request that it may be retained there if it belongs to his Branch, and this Routine-note returned to this Branch.

8/8/18

2. Supdt of Br IV for favour of Speedy

8/8/18

Yes. It belongs to Branch IV

8/8/18

Transferred to Br IV

8/8/18

N. B —Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

GPE—30,000—11-4-06.

II A 5 ch

8/8/18

G.R S 29A]

1906-07

From

Secy to the Govt of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl and Rev Dept

To The President of the Municipality, Tumkur dist.

Dated

Despatched

Received 3rd August 1907.

General No. 1926

2nd No (116-06) — 2

Enclosure No 2

Has the honour to forward herewith for any [illegible] details early a refund petition Regd No 1652 dated the 30th August 1907 and its enclosure from the Vaidyas of Tumkur & appealing against the President's order abolishing after the levy of the Maharaja shop tax on the shop belonging to

IV 13.1.16 Bdl 5015/16 mc 116-A

1906-07 82-3 4

From D3410
K Doraiswamy Iyer Esq,
President of the Municipalities,
Tirukkoilur District

To
K S Chandrasekhara Iyer Esq, B.A. B.L.
Secretary to the Govt of Mysore,
General and Revenue Depts

Dated
Despatched 10th } October 1906
Received

General No. 377
No.

Enclosure No. 4

With reference to this docket No 1936, mc(116-06)-2 dated 31st August 1906 forwarding for a detailed report a petition from the Vaishnavas appealing against the undersigned's order allowing of the levy of the Kothaseriya cash-tax on the shop belonging to their Gurumaru, undersigned has

It is now to state that the said Engaman Langaphuah is residing at Kadalan in Guiuan Dalak for the last six years. He has rented his shop to one Tailor Hammanthia has who is carrying on his trade in the Amadan of Limgai Dalak therefore recommended for licensing his Tuck in the shop as long as the Engaman Langaphuah resided in Kadalan

The undersigned Concurred with the opinion of the Amadan and recommend this request

The herewith papers ensuing with the same Ins. No. 214 dated 18th September of the Assistant District Lieutenant Dalak — which are returned with the said Engaman Langaphuah

returned

M. Snenemonlum

MR

ONE RUPEE
ONE RUPEE

ਸ਼ਾਮ ਲਾਲ ਪੁੱਤਰ ਦਾਸੂ ਵਾਸੀ ਪਿੰਡ

ਦਰਖਾਸਤ ਹਿੱਤ ਕਬਜ਼ਾ, ਵਾਸਤੇ ਤਾਮੀਲ ਹੁਕਮ

ਜ ਹੱਦ ਜਗੀਰ ਕਲਾਂ ਤੇ ਜਗੀਰ ਖੁਰਦ ਤਹਿਸੀਲ ਦਾਖਾ

ਦੇ ਅੰਦਰ ਕਬਜ਼ਾ ਮੌਕਾ ਤੇ ਮੁਦਈ ਨੂੰ ਦਿਵਾਇਆ ਜਾਵੇ ।

ਮੁਦਈ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਹਾਜ਼ਰ ਹੈ ।

ਮੁਦਾਲਾ ਹਾਜ਼ਰ ਹੈ ।

ਮੁਦਈ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਹਾਜ਼ਰ ਹੋ ਕੇ ਦਰਖਾਸਤ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਕਿ ਮੁਦਾਲਾ ਉਸ ਦੀ ਜ਼ਮੀਨ ਤੇ ਕਾਬਜ਼ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਉਸ ਨੂੰ ਕਬਜ਼ਾ ਨਹੀਂ ਦੇ ਰਿਹਾ ਹੈ ਇਸ ਲਈ ਉਸ ਨੂੰ ਕਬਜ਼ਾ ਦਿਵਾਇਆ ਜਾਵੇ । ਮੁਦਾਲਾ ਹਾਜ਼ਰ ਆਇਆ ਅਤੇ ਉਜ਼ਰ ਕੀਤਾ ਕਿ ਉਹ ਕਾਬਜ਼ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਕਬਜ਼ਾ ਨਹੀਂ ਦੇਣਾ ਚਾਹੁੰਦਾ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਕਬਜ਼ਾ ਦੇਣ ਤੋਂ ਇਨਕਾਰੀ ਹੈ

ab

134

1906-07

From

Secy to the Govt. of His Highness

Genl. and Rev Dept

To The President of the Municipalities

Dated Tumkur Dist.

Despatched 27-11-06

Received

General No. 4405

No mc 116-06-4

Enclosure No

Rdg.-
Encls 2 to Regd's
2377 dd 10 & R
as below returned to
Pmn with d. 22-12-06
L
P

His docket No 377 dated the 10th October 1906 in regard to the petitions of the Vaisyas of Kunigal appealing against the President's order abbrowne of the levy of the Mohatarfa shop tax on the shop belonging to Sheni Gopalaiah Lingabhatija, on the ground that he is residing at Kadaba having rented out the shop to a tailor

---

G R S. 194

Referring

to the above, I have the
honour to draw the
President's attention to
para[graph] 2 of G.O. No. 3262-73
dated the 27th September
as well as the note in the margin
thereof, and to enquire how
the said Yajaman was
allowed to enjoy exemption
from payment of the chok
tax on his chok at Kumpak
prior to his shifting to
Kadaba ~~in spite of the fact that the~~
said orders declining exemp-
tions of Mohatarfa pay-
ers from the tax in municipal
towns "by order"

20/11/06

for Secy

16/11/06

1 cc no 2462 of 24-7 [illegible]
P.245

P. 2 5806-27 of 2-2 [illegible]
mc 286

N. 3 6176 of 25-11 84

4 6346-54 of 10-2-8
136

Myself
Kumpak
24/11

24/11

MC 116-86 — 8/5 — 190 6-07

From The President of the Municipalities of the Tumkur District

To The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore, Genl and Rev Deptts

Dated 18th Bangalore
Despatched 21st
Received January 1907
General No 627
No

Enclosure No

Adio docket no 4405
MC 116-064
dated 27th November 06
on the levy of allama-tapa tax on the Sri Lingayat belonging to Lingayan Lingappa of Tiruga

---

stating that the said Lingayan seems to have been allowed to enjoy exemption on the authority of GJC 2462-90 245 C 1234 of 1880

No 167 Office of the President of the Municipalities of the Tenkasi District.
Dated, Tenkasi, 18th January 1906.

From
K Doraiswami Iyer Esqr
President of the Municipalities of
the Tenkasi District.

To
T. S. Chendrasekhara Iyer Esqr
B.A B.L
Secretary to the Govt of H. H. the
Maha Rajah of Mysore.
Genl and Rev. Departments
Bangalore

Sir

With reference to your docket no 4405 re the
M 116-06-4
levy of Mohatarfa shop tax on the shop belonging to Yajaman Singappaiah of Kunigal, I have the honor to state that the said Yajaman seems to have been allowed to enjoy exemption on the authority of Government order no 2462-9/P 245

dated 24th July 1880 in which
it is stated that exemption
from shop tax hitherto en-
enjoyed may be continued
until brought under review

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

H Sutherland
Asst Secretary

MM

205

G.R.S 29A]

1906-07

From

Secy to the Govt of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl and Rev Dept

To The Presidents of
the Municipalities

Bangalore
Kolar
Mysore
Hassan
Shimoga
Kadur
Chitaldroog

Dated 1st the 4th Sept.

Despatched

Received

General No. 7157-68 & 68-2-07

No MC 116-06-6

Enclosure No

In inviting the President's attention to G.O. No 2462-9 P 245 dated the 24th July 1900 amending the terms of which Municipal towns have the honour to request him to be so good as to report whether there were any persons or classes of persons (such as village patwaris &c) enjoying exemption from payment

such tax in any
of the Municipal towns
in the Distt. previous to
the revision of that tax
in accordance with G.O.
Nos 8179-86 / 647 and 3839-48 / 7161-92 O.M.
dated the 29th Oct. 1891
and 8th September 1892
respectively, and if so,
how such exemptions
were dealt with after
the revision of the tax.

"By order"
KB
19/2/07.
for Secy

Memo

No. 7161
116-06
19.2.07

Copy forwarded to the
President of the Municipalities
in the Tumkur Dt. with a
request that a return may
be submitted of all cases (if any)
of such exemptions in
Municipal and other Municipal
towns in the Distt. "By

KP
15/2/07

2/.

190 4

From The Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug

To The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore
General & Revenue Depts
Bangalore

Dated 3
Despatched 4 } April 1907
Received

General No 489
No

Enclosure No. Nil

Submits a report as to the persons or classes of persons who are enjoying exemption from payment of Sheep-tax in any of the Municipal Towns in this District

HD 8426

District Board Presidents Office,
Chitaldrug District

Dated Chitaldrug 3d April 1907

From

Mr Cheruvath Chelly Esquire, B A
President, District Board,
Chitaldrug District

Rl 116-06
Serial 8

To

K S Chendrasekhara Iyer, Esquire, B A B L
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments,
Bangalore

Sir,

Adverting to your docket No 7154-60 / Rl 116-06-6 dated 19th February last calling for a report as to the persons of such classes of towns as settlers, yajmans &c) enjoying exemption from payment of shop tax in any of the municipal towns in this District, I have the honor to state that from the reports received from the Amildars in this District, it appears that there were no such classes of persons in this District prior to the revision of the shop tax in the years 1891 and 1892

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

H. President

IV 29 4r Mls 29/4

1907

From C Sreekanteswara Iyer Esquire
President Municipal Fund Board B.A.B.L.
Kolar district

To K S Chandrasekhara Iyer Esquire
Secretary to the Government of H.H. B.A.B.L.
the Maharaja of Mysore Revenue and Civil Departments

Dated 23/4/07.

Despatched

Received

General No 1496

No 1251

Enclosure No

Reports that persons noted in the margin have been exempted from paying Sheep-tax

2	Bangi & Salli Vysyas.	
3	-do-	
1	-do-	
2	-do-	
2	On account of poverty & Children out of the population	

2	Gollas	Salli Vysyas.
2	Gauravaru	Salli Vaishnavaiyngalu
2	Kurubaru	Raja Poonaigalu Chetty
2	do	Brahmans Brand Jats

116

Serial

No 1866/1251 / 1
D 9467

Pl 116-06/19

Office of the President
Municipal Fund Board
Kolar District
Dated 3d April 1907

From

C Srikantaiengar Iyer Esquire B A B L
President Municipal Fund Board, Kolar District

To

K P Chandrasekhara Iyer Esquire B A B L
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the
Maharaja of Mysore Revenue and General Offices
Bangalore.

Sir

With reference to your docket—
No 7154-60/Pl 116-06-6 dated 19th February 1907 calling for
a report whether there were any persons or classes of
persons enjoying exemption from payment of Shop-tax
in any of the Municipal towns in the District
prior to the revision of that tax in accordance
with Government orders nos 8179-86/L 647 and 3839-48/L F 116-92
respectively, I have the honor to state that
Kshetriya Setty and Vaisya Setty of the Kolar town,
Setty Upayanaru of Gudibanda and Mulbagal
towns and one Mohammed Ismail Sab of Mulbagal
town are reported to have exempted from

from paying shop tax as per details noted in the margin.

The Vice Presidents of these Municipalities report that shop tax was not at all perused in these Municipalities in accordance with the Government order no 8179-86 & F 667 dated 29/10/1891 as the Government postponed the perusion of shop tax in all the Mandal Taluks in their Proceedings no 3839-48 dated 8/9/1892 & F 161-92 and that exemptions are still being continued in the above Municipalities

I have the honor to be.
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

L. Narasimha
Vice President
Town Municipality

1906

From
The Hon'ble President
Bangalore Dist

To
The Secy to Govt of Mysore
Revenue Dept

Dated 1/54
Despatched 5 Jan 1907
Received
General No
2 No 254
Enclosure No

This document 71 54 60
MC 116 06

Reports certain cases of exemption from payment of shop-tax in the Municipalities of the District

No 116-06 Bangalore District.
St. 10
Municipal Records Office
Bangalore 1st June 1907

7154-60
D 10116

From, H. H. Sparkes Esquire.
Municipal President
Bangalore District

To, K. S Chandrasekhara Aiyar Esquire.
B.A B.L.
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General & Revenue Departments.

Sir,

In reply to your docket No. 7154-60 dated 19th February 1907, No. 116-06-6 calling for a report as to whether there were any persons such as Peyamans and Settes enjoying exemption from payment of shop tax in the Municipalities of this District, prior to the revision of that tax, etc. I have the honor to state that 20 cases of exemp-

from payment of shop tax as shown below were being enjoyed by Yejamans and Settis prior to the revision of the above tax, ordered in Government-Order Nos 8179-86/LF 647 and 3839-48/LF 161-92 dated the 29th October 1891 and 8th September 1892, respectively.

Dodballapur	4.
Kankanhalli	2.
Chikkal	4.
Sarjapur	2.
Devanhalli	6.
Vadigenhalli	2.
Total	20

2. These 20 cases of exemption were allowed to continue even after the revision through misconception of the Government Orders on the subject, as already stated in my letter No. LF 209 dated 24th April 1907.

Block

which I invite your kind reference.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant

Municipal Resident

hml

1907

From The President of the Municipalities in the Kadur District

To The Secretary to Govt. General & Revenue Depts— Bangalore

Dated 13th

Despatched 14th June 1907

Received

General No 735
No

Enclosure No Nil

G O No 7154-60/ML 116-06-68 19th February 1907

—o—

Reporting on the above reference regarding the type and specimens, if any, enjoying exemption from payment of Profession Tax in Municipal Towns.

—o—

D1004/01

From

Office of the President of the Municipalities in the Kadur District

Dated Chikmagalur 13th June 1907

To

The Deputy Secretary to Government
Mal 116 Department of the Municipalities
& 17a in Kadur District.

Do

K S Chandrasekhara Iyer Esq BA BL
Secretary to the Government of
H H The Maharaja of Mysore,
General & Revenue Depts
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to Government order no 7154-60/Mal 116-06-6 of the 9th February 1907 enquiring whether there were any persons (such as Shetty Yajaman etc) enjoying exemptions from payment of Shop tax in any of the Municipal towns in the District prior to the revision of that tax in accordance with Government orders nos 8179-86/L 647 and B 8307-48/L 161-92 dated the 29th October 1891 and 8th September 1892 respectively, and if so, when such exemptions were dealt with after the revision of the tax, I have the honor to state that the Amildars

of

of the Kadur, Davitkere, Koppa and Mudigere Talukas have reported to the effect that no exemption of the kind is enjoyed by any one in their respective Municipalities. With regard to the Chikmagalur Municipality, it is reported by the Vice President that one Desh Shetty Adavappa represented that his father Shetty Thimma Basappa enjoyed the exemption of house and shop taxes till the year 1869 in that Municipality, that the latter petitioned the Deputy Commissioner in the year 1880 for the reconsideration of his claims for the exemption thereafter it is however seen from the reference in the office that his application was rejected for want of proper reasons to allow the privilege to the Vijayanagar Municipality the

reporting

report that one Jawala Prasadha has been enjoying exemption from shop tax for the reason of him being a Sheriff

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient servant
M Kathirasan Chetty
for President of the
Municipality in the
Eastern Division

To.

1907-08

From The President of the Municipalities of the Tumkur District

To The Secy to the Govt of H.H. the Maha Rajah of Mysore Local Dept.

Dated 30 Dept.

Despatched Do } August 07

Received

General No

No 85

Enclosure No

Ref memo no 7161 dy
ML 116-06-7
19th February-1907

Submitting the names of all persons enjoying exemptions of shop tax in municipal towns in the distt

Office of the President of the Municipalities of the Tumkur District

Dated, Tumkur, 2nd August 1907

From

K. Doraiswamy Iyengar Esqr.
President of the Municipalities
of the Tumkur District

To

Col. Balasundaram Iyengar Esqr B.A.
Offg. Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maha Rajah of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments

Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your Memo No. 7161 dated 19th February last, requesting to report all cases of exemptions from payment of shop tax in Municipal towns, I have the honor to append on the margin the names of all persons enjoying such exemptions. There appear to have been allowed to enjoy exemptions on the authority of Government Order No. 2462-9

P- 245, dated 24 July 1880,
in which it is stated
that exemptions from
shop tax hitherto
enjoyed may be con-
tinued until brought
under revision But
at the time of revision
in the year 1892-93,
the question was lost
sight of and the
exemptions continued

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servt

for President

Kiralappa of Kattle
(1) Pete Kattle Kaipanna
(2) do Yajaman Channappa
(3) Puttappa, Kattle of the Vaisyas

Kumpal
(1) Venkatappa Do —
(2) Bharappa Yajamanappa
(3) Kuttappa, Kattle of the Lingayats

Tirumalve
Koralappa
Vara Pattle of the Pattle Class
(1) Pattle Lingayats
(2) Devanna Chikka Kattle, Kattle
(3) Kenkarappa, Yajaman

IV 9/12 1907 Dasa 3/3/9

From

M Narain Rao Esquire,
President of the Municipalities
Shemoga District

To

C S Balasundaram Iyer Esqre,
Officiating Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Genl and Rev Depts

Dated 10th
Despatched do
Received

General No 39
No

Enclosure No Nil

With reference to the Secretary's docket No 7154-60 dated the Mul 116-06-6
19th February 1907, Submits a report on the question of — exemption from payment of shop tax in the Municipal towns of the District

No 32
Enc Nil

D 110 of 7

Mel 116-06

Office of the President
of the Municapalities
Shemoga District
Dated the 16th August
1907

From,

M Narasimha Esqure,
President of the Municapalities,
Shemoga District

To

C S Balasundaram Iyer Esqure,
Officiating Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your docket No 7154-60/Mel 16-06-6 dated the 19th February 1907 inviting attention to Government Order No 2462-9/P 245 dated the 24th July 1880 and calling for a report as to whether there are any persons or classes of persons enjoying exemption from payment of Shop-tax in any of the Municipal

Municipal Towns prior to the remission of that tax in accordance with Government orders Nos 8179-86/L-647 and 3839-48/L F 161-92 dated the 29th October 1887 and 8th September 1892 respectively, and of so how such exemptions were dealt with after the remission of the tax, and in reply to state that with the exception of Shimoga, the Vice Presidents of all other Municipalities have reported that no exemption from Mohaturfa was enjoyed by any persons or classes of persons in their respective towns

2 With regard to Shimoga Town, the Amildar states that a reference to old records shows that the Settees and Yejamans were enjoying exemption from house-tax prior to the introduction of of the Mohaturfa rules of 1860, and that they were subjected to the tax in the yr. 1862 When they pressed their claim to exemption in the years 1861, 1862 & 63 it was rejected

3 I regret the delay in replying

to your letter. It was caused by the non-receipt of the Shimoga Amildar's report on the Subject which reached the Vice President only on the 5th instant.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
M. Nagaraj Rao
President of the Municipality,
Shimoga District

W 453/1907-08

From
K R Sreenivasa Iyengar Esq
President of Municipalities
Hassan District

To
C S Balasundaram Iyer Esq
Secretary to the Government of
H H the Maharaja of Mysore
General & Revenue Departments
Bangalore

Dated 28th August 07

Despatched

Received 30th August 07

General No 94
No 5

Enclosure No nil

Reporting that except one Aswatha sette of Belur. There is no other in the District who was exempted from payment of Shop tax

10 mlt

8/14

HASSAN DIST
LOCAL FUND & MUNICIPAL BOARDS

Dated, Hassan 28th August/07

From D1654

K R Sreenivasa Iyengar Esq BA
President of Municipal Boards
Hassan District

To

C S Balasundaram Iyer Esq BA
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
General & Revenue Department
Bangalore

Sir,

Adverting to your Docket No. 7154-60 mL 116-06-6 dated the 19th February last, requesting me to report whether there were any persons, or classes of persons (such as Shetties, Zapsiwals &c), enjoying exemption from payment of shop-tax in any of the Municipal towns in this District, prior to

to the revision of that tax, I have the honor to state that from the reports of the Vice-Presidents of the municipalities in this District, it is seen that, except one Ashwatha Shetty of Belur, who is reported to have been enjoying exemption, in the Belur Municipality, from payment of the shop tax, prior to the revision of that tax, in accordance with Government orders nos $\frac{8179-86}{L\ 647}$ and $\frac{3839-48}{L\ 161-92}$ dated 29th October 1891 and 8th September 1892 respectively, there is no other in the District who was enjoying a similar concession.

The above Aswatha Setti is reported to be still enjoying exemption from shop-tax, [illegible]

revision of this Law in accordance with the Government order quoted above.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

W. S. Mumcee Esq
President of Municipal
Boards, Western District

Orig

Wy 13 12 Dasti Supdt

1907–08

From M Hawthorn Esqre B.A.,
President of the Municipality
Mysore

To C. S Balasundaram Esqre B.A.,
Secretary to the Govt of Mysore,
Genl Rev Department,
Bangalore

Dated 10th
Despatched 12th } December 1907
Received

General No. 377
No.

Enclosure No. ——

Stating that persons or classes of persons (such as Settees & Vaymans) were not enjoying exemptions from payment of shop-tax in any of the Municipal Towns prior to the revision of that tax as per Govt. Proceedings de

FICE OF RESIDENT

33

Office of the President,
of the Municipalities,
Mysore District

No 116-06/C 15

Dated 10th December 1907 D 4111

From,

M Kantharaj Urs Esqre B A,
President of Municipalities,
Mysore

To

C S Balasundaram Iyer Esqre B A,
Secretary to the Govt of Mysore,
Genl & Rev: Department,
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your docket no 7154-60 ml 116-06-6 of 19th February 1907 enquiring whether there were any persons or classes of persons (such as Setties, Yajmans &c) enjoying exemption from payment of shop-tax in any of the Municipal Towns in the District, prior to the revision of that tax as per Govt Pro: no 8179-86/L 647 & 383'-48 & L F 161-92 dated 29 10 91 & 8 9 92 respectively I have the honor to state that all the Amildars of this District report that there were no such exemptions prior to the revision of shop-tax as per Govt order cited supra

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient Servant,

President of the Municipalities
Mysore Dt

No 6

1152

6953 Endorsement
ML116-6-16 Gen 108

Endorsement granted to Pallu Ranjish and other residents of Municipal limits of the Tuticorin District, in reply to their petition Regd. No. 1652 dated the 6th August 1908 praying against the Municipal Presidents decision observing of the levy of Profession Taxes from the fruit trade on the shops belonging to them Yaparians in the town, and praying that as the misconception of any law on the said shops will have the effect of loosening them in the estimation of the public the same may be removed.

Petitioners are informed that the Municipal President whose report was called for in the above

that Mr. Benjamin Luigabhai has been staying at Kadaba for the last 6 years teaching only this whole at Municipal is a tailor who has been carrying on his trade with and that a tax has therefore been levied on it which will be continued to be recovered so long as the said Benjamin is absent from the town, and that, in such circumstances, the abstract is rejected. The enclosure to the petition is herewith returned.

"By order"

13/1/08

Memo. — 9/1/08

Copy forwarded to the President of the Municipality in the Taluk Office with reference to Correspondence ending with his letter dt. 6th dated the 28th Jan: copy. "By order"

13/1/08